UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OWEN HARTY, Individually, :

.....

Plaintiff, :

v. : Case No.

MAC BOULEVARD ASSOCIATES, L.P., A
Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F
MACDADE BOULEVARD, L.P., A Delaware
Limited Partnership, DAVID J. COHAN,
Individually, AND LINDA V. COHAN,
Individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

(Injunctive And Other Relief Demanded)

Plaintiff, OWEN HARTY, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, hereby sues Defendants, Mac Boulevard Associates, L.P., A Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F Macdade Blvd., L.P., A Delaware Limited Partnership, David J. Cohan, Individually, and Linda V. Cohan, Individually, for Injunctive Relief, and attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* ("ADA").

Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Broward County, is sui juris, and qualifies as an
individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is paralyzed from the waist
down and is bound to ambulate in a wheelchair. Plaintiff travels frequently to the
Philadelphia metropolitan area to visit his niece, attend gun shows, and maintain his business
and client contacts.

- Defendants' property, MacDade Crossing, 1245 MacDade Blvd., Woodlyn, PA 19094, is located in the County of Delaware.
- Venue is properly located in the EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA because
 venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. Defendants' property is located in and
 does business within this judicial district.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from Defendants' violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq. See also* 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 5. Plaintiff has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property, and to determine whether the property has been made ADA compliant. Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property which discriminate against him on the basis of his disability and have endangered his safety. These barriers also prevent Plaintiff from returning to the property to enjoy the goods and services available to the public. Plaintiff is also a tester for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA.
- 6. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendants' discrimination until Defendants are compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff is deterred from, and is denied the opportunity to participate and benefit from the goods, services, privileges, advantages, facilities and accommodations at

Defendants' property equal to that afforded to other individuals. Plaintiff is aware that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to visit Defendants' property if he wishes to do so free of discrimination.

- 7. Defendants own, lease, lease to, or operate a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104.
 Defendants are responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that Defendants own, operate, lease or lease to is known as MacDade Crossing, 1245 MacDade Blvd., Woodlyn, PA 19094.
- 8. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from Defendants' non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described, but not necessarily limited to, the allegations in paragraph 10 of this Complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by Defendants. Plaintiff desires to visit MacDade Crossing not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property, but also to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 9. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the subject property, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 *et seq*.
- 10. Defendants have discriminated, and are continuing to discriminate, against Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, *inter alia*, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendants have 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of

\$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of MacDade Crossing has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:

- A. Defendants fail to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all goods, services and facilities are readily accessible to and usable by the disabled.
- B. Defendants fail to maintain its features to ensure that they are readily accessible and usable by the disabled.
- C. There is an insufficient number of compliant parking spaces and access aisles, with lack of proper disbursement to serve all the entrances of the facility, excessive slopes, missing signage, lack of compliant signage. The lack of compliant parking makes it difficult, unsafe and/or impossible for Plaintiff to locate accessible parking, transfer from his vehicle to his wheelchair and access the shopping center.
- D. There is a lack of compliant, accessible route connecting the disabled parking spaces with all the goods, services and facilities of the property, with abrupt changes of level,non-compliant curb approaches, excessive slopes, non-compliant ramps.

 Defendants' failure to provide a compliant accessible route connecting all essential elements of the facilities makes it difficult, unsafe and/or impossible for Plaintiff to access the goods and services offered at the shopping center.
- 11. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 10 are not an exclusive list of Defendants' ADA violations. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs

and activities of Defendants' buildings and facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by Defendants because of Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth above. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, Plaintiff requires an inspection of Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- 12. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of their place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, Defendants continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- 13. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 14. Defendants are required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for their place of public accommodation

that have existed prior to January 26, 1992. *See* 28 CFR 36.304(a). In the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendants' place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then Defendants are required to ensure, to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs. *See* 28 CFR 36.402. Finally, if Defendants' facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then Defendants' facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

- 15. Notice to Defendants is not required as a result of Defendants' failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendants have 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by Defendants.
- 16. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require Defendants to alter MacDade Crossing to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to Plaintiff and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA, or by closing the facility until such time as Defendants cure their violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that Defendants at the commencement of the subject lawsuit are in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq*.

- b. The Court issue all remedies available under 42 U.S.C. Sections 12188 and 2000a-3(a) including but not limited to preventive relief, permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order, or other order, as the Court deems just and proper.
- c. The Court issue injunctive relief against Defendants, including an Order
 - (i) requiring the Defendants to make all readily achievable alterations to the Property and to the facility operated thereon so that the Property and such facility are made readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;
 - (ii) requiring the Defendants to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures as are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities;
 - (iii) requiring the Defendants to take such steps as are necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services; and
 - (iv) prohibiting the Defendants from perpetuating any acts and practices which result in the exclusion, denial of service to or discriminatory treatment of individuals with disabilities.
- c. An award of attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Respectfully Submitted,

THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.

By:

John F. Ward, Esquire (I.D. No. 81350)

200 Country Club Rd. Royersford, PA 19468

Phone: 610-952-0219 Fax: 954-237-1990 johnfward@gmail.com

Date: October 16, 2017

OWEN HARTY, Individually, :	:	
Plaintiff,		
V. :		
MAC BOULEVARD ASSOCIATES, L.P., A	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE	
Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F	;	
MACDADE BOULEVARD, L.P., A Delaware:	: CASE NUMBER	
Limited Partnership, DAVID J. COHAN,	· •	
Individually, AND LINDA V. COHAN,	· •	
Individually, :	· •	
Defendants.	: -	
To: (Name and address of Defendant)		
MAC BOULEVARD ASSOCIATES, L.F) .	
c/o		
Pineville Properties LLC		
1288 Valley Forge Road #987		
Valley Forge PA 19482		
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:		
John F. Ward, Esquire		
THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.		
200 Country Club Rd.		
Royersford, PA 19468		
an answer to the Complaint which is herewith served upon you, within twenty-one (21) days a		
service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of t		
by default will be taken against you for the relief		
your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a		
	•	
Clerk	Date	
CICIA	Daic	
(By) Deputy Clerk		
(DJ) Doputy Clork		

OWEN HARTY, Individually, : Plaintiff, :		
v. MAC BOULEVARD ASSOCIATES, L.P., A Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F MACDADE BOULEVARD, L.P., A Delaware Limited Partnership, DAVID J. COHAN, Individually, AND LINDA V. COHAN, Individually, Defendants.	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE CASE NUMBER	
To: (Name and address of Defendant) R&F Macdade Blvd., L.P. c/o National Registered Agents, Inc. 160 Greentree Dr., Suite 101 Dover, DE 19904		
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: John F. Ward, Esquire THOMAS B. BACON, P.A. 200 Country Club Rd. Royersford, PA 19468		
Clerk	Date	
(By) Deputy Clerk		

OWEN HARTY, Individually, :		
Plaintiff, :		
v. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE	
Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F :	SOMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE	
MACDADE BOULEVARD, L.P., A Delaware:	CASE NUMBER	
Limited Partnership, DAVID J. COHAN, :		
Individually, AND LINDA V. COHAN, :		
Individually, :		
Defendants. :		
T (M 1 11 CD C 1 A		
To: (Name and address of Defendant) David J. Cohan		
c/o		
Sports Club		
1330 W Macdade Blvd		
Woodlyn, PA 19094		
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:		
John F. Ward, Esquire		
THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.		
200 Country Club Rd.		
Royersford, PA 19468		
an answer to the Complaint which is herewith ser	ved upon you, within twenty-one (21) days after	
service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of t	1 , ,	
by default will be taken against you for the relief		
your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a		
Clerk	Date	
(By) Deputy Clerk		
(D), Dopary Clork		

(By) Deputy Clerk		
Clerk	Date	
by default will be taken against you for the relief your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a	•	
an answer to the Complaint which is herewith ser service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of t		
John F. Ward, Esquire THOMAS B. BACON, P.A. 200 Country Club Rd. Royersford, PA 19468		
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:		
To: (Name and address of Defendant) Linda V. Cohan c/o Sports Club 1330 W Macdade Blvd Woodlyn , PA 19094		
Defendants. :		
Individually, AND LINDA V. COHAN, : Individually, :		
MACDADE BOULEVARD, L.P., A Delaware : Limited Partnership, DAVID J. COHAN, :	CASE NUMBER	
Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, R&F :		
v. : MAC BOULEVARD ASSOCIATES, L.P., A :	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE	
OWEN HARTY, Individually, : Plaintiff, :		